Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/809,316	DURHAM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	HO SHIU	2457
All Participants: Status of Application: After Non-final		
(1) <u>HO SHIU</u> .	(3)	
(2) Paul Mendonsa Reg. No. 42879.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 August 2009	Time: <u>12:30 p</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1, 20, 39, 58		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/809,316

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claims 1, 20, 39, and 58 are independent claims. Claims 1 and 58 as amended by applicant's amendment filled on 05/04/2009 are found to be allowable. In order to put the application into condition for allowance, claims 20 and 39 need to incorporate limitations from claims 1 and 58 into claim 20 and 39 to be in condition for allowance. A propsed amendment has been authorized by the attorney of record, Paul Mendonsa, for an examiner's amendment so the application can be put in condition for allowance. See attached examiner's amendment.